Page 6 of 6

REMARKS

The Office Action of August 10, 2009 presented a restriction requirement. In support of the restriction, the Office Action identified two inventions: claims 1, 4, 5 and 7-11 were identified as Group I (an apparatus) and classified in class 81, subclass 467, and claims 12-16

were identified as Group II (a method) and classified in class 81, subclass 57.39. Applicant hereby elects claims 12-16 identified as Group II for prosecution in this application, without

hereby elects claims 12-16 identified as Group II for prosecution in this application, without traverse, and reserves the right to pursue apparatus claims 1, 4, 5 and 7-11 in a divisional

application.

Applicant hereby amends claim 15 for better form and adds claims 17-22. Claims 17-22 are method claims that depend from claim 15 and are readable on the elected invention. These

claims are supported at least by the claims and specification as originally filed.

Allowance of claims 12-22 is respectfully requested. No fees are believed due for filing this response, however, please charge any fees that may be due, or credit any overpayment, to

Deposit Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS P. FECHTER, ET AL.

Dated: Sept. 10, 2009

John D. Franzini

Reg. No. 31,356 Attorney for Applicants Ouarles & Brady LLP

411 East Wisconsin Avenue

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4497

Tel. No. (414) 277-5747 Fax No. (414) 978-8747